

James-Stein estimator

Preliminaries : Complex normal and Wishart distributions

Set-up of a problem

Key ingredients

Integration-by-parts formulae

Class of estimators and unbiased estimate of the risk

Concluding remarks

Shrinkage estimation of the mean matrix of multivariate complex normal distributions

Yoshihiko KONNO (今野 良彦)

Japan Women's University

National Chaio Tung University

5 Dec. 2012

- 1 James-Stein estimator
- 2 Preliminaries : Complex normal and Wishart distributions
 - Complex normal distribution
 - Complex Wishart distribution
- 3 Set-up of a problem
- 4 Key ingredients
- 5 Integration-by-parts formulae
 - Stein identity for complex normal distribution
 - Stein-Haff identity for the complex Wishart distribution
- 6 Class of estimators and unbiased estimate of the risk
 - Class of estimators
 - Unbiased risk estimate
 - Improved estimators
- 7 Concluding remarks

- ★ Assume that $\mathbf{X} : \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{1} \sim N_p(\xi, \mathbf{I}_p)$.
- ★ Consider the problem of estimating ξ under a risk function

$$R(\xi, \hat{\xi}) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^p (\hat{\xi}_i - \xi_i)^2\right] = \mathbb{E}[(\hat{\xi} - \xi)'(\hat{\xi} - \xi)],$$

where $\hat{\xi}$ is an estimator of $\xi' = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_p)$ and ξ' is the transpose of the column vector ξ ,

- ★ When $p \leq 2$, there is no estimators $\hat{\xi}$ such that $R(\xi, \hat{\xi}) \leq R(\xi, \mathbf{X})$ for $\forall \xi$ and $R(\xi, \hat{\xi}) < R(\xi, \mathbf{X})$ for some ξ .
- ★ However, when $p \geq 3$, the James-Stein estimator $\hat{\xi}_{JS} = \{1 - (p - 2) / \sum_{i=1}^p X_i^2\} \mathbf{X}$ improves \mathbf{X} , i.e., $R(\xi, \hat{\xi}_{JS}) < R(\xi, \mathbf{X})$.

- ★ In this talk, we construct estimators which improve the usual estimator (the maximum likelihood estimator) for the mean matrix of the matrix-variate complex normal distributions.

- ★ Good reference is Andersen et al. (1995, Lecture note in Statistics vol. 101).
- ★ We say that a random variable $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{ReZ} + \sqrt{-1}\mathbf{ImZ}$ has a standard complex normal distributions $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1})$ if

$$[\mathbf{Z}] = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{ReZ} \\ \mathbf{ImZ} \end{pmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}_2 \left[\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

- ★ Then the density (w.r.t. Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C}) of \mathbf{Z} is given as

$$f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \exp(-\bar{\mathbf{z}}\mathbf{z})$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{z}}$ is the conjugate of a complex \mathbf{z} ($\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}$).

★ Assume that $\mathbf{Z} \sim \mathbb{C}\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1})$. For $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\mathbf{X} := \xi + \sigma\mathbf{Z} \sim \mathbb{C}\mathbf{N}(\xi, \sigma^2).$$

★ Let \mathbf{X} be \mathbb{C}^p -valued random vector. For $\forall \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{C}^p$, $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^p$, and $\Sigma \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times p}_+$

$$\mathbf{c}^* \mathbf{X} \sim \mathbb{C}\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{c}^* \xi, \mathbf{c}^* \Sigma \mathbf{c}) \iff \mathbf{X} \sim \mathbb{C}\mathbf{N}_p(\xi, \Sigma),$$

where \mathbf{c}^* is the transpose complex conjugate of a column vector \mathbf{c} and $\mathbb{C}^{p \times p}_+$ is the set of all p.d. complex matrices.

★ Let $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathbb{C}\mathbf{N}_p(\xi, \Sigma)$. Then the density of \mathbf{X} (w.r.t. Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C}^p) is given as

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\pi^p} (\text{Det } \Sigma)^{-1} \exp\{-(\mathbf{x} - \xi)^* \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \xi)\}.$$

- ★ Let $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Re} \mathbf{X} + \sqrt{-1} \mathbf{Im} \mathbf{X} \sim \mathbb{C}N_p(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$. Then the distribution of $[\mathbf{X}]$ (w.r.t Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^{2p}) is given as

$$[\mathbf{X}] := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Re} \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{Im} \mathbf{X} \end{pmatrix} \sim N_{2p} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Re} \boldsymbol{\xi} \\ \mathbf{Im} \boldsymbol{\xi} \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Re} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} & -\mathbf{Im} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \\ \mathbf{Im} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} & \mathbf{Re} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

where $\mathbf{Re} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ and $\mathbf{Im} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ are symmetric and skew-symmetric.

- ★ Let $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$ be mutually independent $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{N}_p(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$ random column vectors. Then the distribution of

$$\mathbf{S} := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{X}_i^*$$

is called a complex Wishart distribution with parameters n, Σ (this is denoted by $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{W}_p(n, \Sigma)$)

- ★ If $n \geq p$, then $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{S} \text{ is p.d.}) = 1$ and the density of \mathbf{S} (w.r.t. Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}_+^{p \times p}$) is given as

$$f_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\text{Det}(\mathbf{w})^{n-p} \exp(-\text{Tr}(\mathbf{w}\Sigma^{-1}))}{\text{Det}(\Sigma)^n \pi^{p(p-1)/2} \prod_{j=1}^p \Gamma(n+1-j)},$$

where $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{C}_+^{p \times p}$, the set of all p.d. complex matrices, and $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is Euler's gamma function.

Observation for the problem of estimating mean matrix Ξ

- ★ Two random matrices $\mathbf{X} : m \times p$ and $\mathbf{S} : p \times p$ are independent and distributed as follows.
- ★ $\mathbf{X} : m \times p \sim \mathbb{C}N_{m \times p}(\Xi, \mathbf{I}_m \otimes \Sigma)$ and $\mathbf{S} : p \times p \sim \mathbb{C}W_p(n, \Sigma)$, where Ξ is unknown $m \times p$ matrix with complex entries and Σ is unknown Hermitian p.d. $p \times p$ matrix.
Write $\mathbf{X}' := (\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \dots, \mathbf{X}_m)$ and $\Xi' = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m)$, the transpose of the matrix Ξ . Then \mathbf{X}_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) are independently distributed as $\mathbb{C}N_p(\xi'_i, \Sigma)$.
- ★ Assume that $n > p$.

Loss function

- ★ We consider the problem of estimating unknown mean matrix Ξ based on observation \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{S} under a loss function:

$$L((\Xi, \Sigma), \hat{\Xi}) = \text{Tr} \{ \Sigma^{-1} (\hat{\Xi} - \Xi)^* (\hat{\Xi} - \Xi) \},$$

where $\hat{\Xi}$ is an estimator for Ξ .

- ★ Risk function :

$$R((\Xi, \Sigma), \hat{\Xi}) = \mathbb{E}[L((\Xi, \Sigma), \hat{\Xi})],$$

Goal

Find estimators $\hat{\Xi}$ s.t.

$$R((\Xi, \Sigma), \hat{\Xi}) \leq R((\Xi, \Sigma), \mathbf{X}) = mp \quad \text{for } \forall (\Xi, \Sigma).$$

Key ingredients

- 1 We consider a class of estimators $\widehat{\Xi}$ whose modification depends on the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}^{-1}$.
Then $R((\Xi, \Sigma), \widehat{\Xi})$, the risk of estimators $\widehat{\Xi}$, depends on unknown parameters (Ξ, Σ) .
- 2 To compare $R((\Xi, \Sigma), \widehat{\Xi})$ with $R((\Xi, \Sigma), \mathbf{X}) = mp$, we derive the unbiased estimate of the risk $R((\Xi, \Sigma), \widehat{\Xi})$.

$$R((\Xi, \Sigma), \widehat{\Xi}) = mp + \mathbb{E}[\widehat{\Delta}]$$

Here $\widehat{\Delta}$ is a function of the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}^{-1}$.

- 3 If $\widehat{\Delta} \leq 0$, then $\widehat{\Xi}$ improves upon \mathbf{X} , i.e., $R((\Xi, \Sigma), \widehat{\Xi}) \leq mp$ for $\forall (\Xi, \Sigma)$.
- 4 To derive $\widehat{\Delta}$, we use integration-by-parts formulae (Stein identity for complex normal distribution and Stein-Haff identity for complex Wishart distribution).

Notation 1

- ★ **Rm \mathbf{C}** and **Im \mathbf{c}** : real and imaginary parts of a complex number \mathbf{c} .
- ★ $\mathbb{R}_{>}^p = \{(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p : f_1 > f_2 > \dots > f_p > 0\}$
- ★ $\mathbb{C}^{m \times p}$: the sets of all $m \times p$ matrices of complex entries.
- ★ \mathbf{C}' and $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$: the transpose and the conjugate of $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times p}$.
 $\mathbf{C}^* = \overline{\mathbf{C}'}$.

Notation 2 for Stein identity for complex normal distribution

- ★ For $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} + \sqrt{-1} \mathbf{y}$ ($\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}$) and differentiable function $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{z}) + \sqrt{-1} \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{z})$ ($\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{z})$ and $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{z})$ are real-valued differential functions), define

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{z}} \mathbf{g} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} - \sqrt{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \right) \mathbf{g} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \right) + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{g}}{\partial \mathbf{z}} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial(\operatorname{Re} \mathbf{g})}{\partial(\operatorname{Re} \mathbf{z})} + \frac{\partial(\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{g})}{\partial(\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{z})} \right)$$

Notation 3 for Stein identity for complex normal distribution

- ★ $\mathbf{G} = (\mathbf{g}_{ij})_{i=1,2,\dots,m,j=1,2,\dots,p}$ be an $m \times p$ matrix (\mathbf{g}_{ij} 's are complex-valued differentiable functions on $\mathbb{C}^{m \times p}$).
- ★ For $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_{ij})_{i=1,2,\dots,m,j=1,2,\dots,p} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times p}$, set

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{ij}} \right)_{i=1,2,\dots,m,j=1,2,\dots,p},$$

and define

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{Tr}(\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}' \mathbf{G})) &= \operatorname{Tr}(\operatorname{Re}(\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}' \mathbf{G})) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ \frac{\partial(\operatorname{Re} \mathbf{g}_{ij})}{\partial(\operatorname{Re} \mathbf{x}_{ij})} + \frac{\partial(\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{g}_{ij})}{\partial(\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{x}_{ij})} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma (Stein's identity for complex normal distribution)

Let \mathbf{X} be a $m \times p$ matrix having $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{N}_{m \times p}(\Xi, \mathbf{I}_m \otimes \Sigma)$ and let $\mathbf{G} = (\mathbf{g}_{ij})_{i=1,2,\dots,m,j=1,2,\dots,p}$ with

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \frac{\partial (\operatorname{Re} \mathbf{g}_{ij})}{\partial (\operatorname{Re} \mathbf{x}_{ij})} \right|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{X}} < \infty, \quad \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{\partial (\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{g}_{ij})}{\partial (\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{x}_{ij})} \right|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{X}} < \infty,$$

and $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_{ij})_{i=1,2,\dots,m,j=1,2,\dots,p}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{m \times p}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} [\operatorname{Tr} \{ \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{X} - \Xi)^* \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{X}) + \operatorname{Tr} \{ \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{G}^*(\mathbf{X}) (\mathbf{X} - \Xi) \}] \\ & = 2 \mathbb{E} [\operatorname{Tr} (\operatorname{Re}(\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}' \mathbf{G})) \Big|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{X}}] \end{aligned}$$

Sketch of proof ($m = 1$)

Recall that, for $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathbb{C}\mathbf{N}_p(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$, we have

$$[\mathbf{X}] := \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re} \mathbf{X} \\ \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{X} \end{pmatrix} \sim N_{2p} \left[\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re} \boldsymbol{\xi} \\ \operatorname{Im} \boldsymbol{\xi} \end{pmatrix}}_{[\boldsymbol{\xi}]: 2p \times 1}, \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} & -\operatorname{Im} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \\ \operatorname{Im} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} & \operatorname{Re} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \end{pmatrix}}_{\{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\}: 2p \times 2p} \right],$$

and note that

$$(\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\xi})^* \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X}) + \mathbf{g}^*(\mathbf{X}) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\xi}) = 2[\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\xi}]' \{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\}^{-1} [\mathbf{g}].$$

From Stein's identity for the multivariate real normal distribution, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[[\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\xi}]' (\{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\}/2)^{-1} [\mathbf{g}]] = \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^p \left(\frac{\partial(\operatorname{Re} \mathbf{g}_i)}{\partial(\operatorname{Re} \mathbf{x}_i)} + \frac{\partial(\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{g}_i)}{\partial(\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{x}_i)} \right) \Big|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{X}} \right],$$

where $\mathbf{x}' = (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p)$ and $\mathbf{g}' = (\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2, \dots, \mathbf{g}_p)$.

We consider a real normal case for simplicity.

For $\mathbf{Z} \sim \mathbf{N}(\xi, 1)$ and a function $\mathbf{g} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$\mathbb{E}[\dot{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{Z})] < \infty$ ($\dot{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{z}) = d\mathbf{g}/d\mathbf{z}$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\mathbf{z} - \xi) \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{z}) \exp(-(\mathbf{z} - \xi)^2/2) d\mathbf{z} \\ &= \underbrace{\left[-\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{z}) \exp(-(\mathbf{z} - \xi)^2/2) \right]_{-\infty}^{\infty}}_0 + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{z}) \exp(-(\mathbf{z} - \xi)^2/2) d\mathbf{z} \end{aligned}$$

because $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{z}) \exp(-(\mathbf{z} - \xi)^2/2) d\mathbf{z} < \infty$. This shows that

$$\mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{Z} - \xi) \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{Z})] = \mathbb{E}[\dot{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{Z})].$$

Note that $\mathbb{E}[\dot{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{Z})] < \infty$ implies $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{Z} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{Z})] < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{Z})] < \infty$.

See Sourav Chatterjee's lecture note(Stein's method and applications).

Notation Stein-Haff identity for complex Wishart distribution

★ Let $\mathbf{s} : \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{p} = (\mathbf{s}_{jk})$ and $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{s}) = (\mathbf{g}_{ij}(\mathbf{s}))$.

★

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{s}_{jk}} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta_{jk}) \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial(\operatorname{Re} \mathbf{s}_{jk})} + (1 - \delta_{jk}) \sqrt{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial(\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{s}_{jk})} \right\},$$

for $j, k = 1, 2, \dots, \mathbf{p}$ and δ_{jk} is the Kronecker delta.

★ Let $\mathbf{D}_S = (\partial/\partial \mathbf{s}_{jk})$ be a $\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{p}$ operator matrix given by

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbf{D}_S \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{S})\}_{jk} &= \sum_{l=1}^{\mathbf{p}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}_{lk}}{\partial \mathbf{s}_{jl}}(\mathbf{S}) \\ &= \frac{1 + \delta_{jl}}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{\mathbf{p}} \left\{ \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}_{lk}}{\partial(\operatorname{Re} \mathbf{s}_{jl})} + (1 - \delta_{jl}) \sqrt{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}_{lk}}{\partial(\operatorname{Im} \mathbf{s}_{jl})} \right\} \Big|_{\mathbf{s}=\mathbf{S}}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma (Stein-Haff identity for complex Wishart distribution)

Assume that each entry of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{S})$ is a partially differentiable function with respect to $\mathbf{Re} \mathbf{s}_{jk}$ and $\mathbf{Im} \mathbf{s}_{jk}$, $j, k = 1, 2, \dots, p$. Under some regularity conditions on $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{S})$, the following identity holds:

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathrm{Tr}(\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{S})\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})] = \mathbb{E}[(n - p)\mathrm{Tr}(\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{S})\mathbf{S}^{-1}) + \mathrm{Tr}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{S}))]. \quad (1)$$

Sketch of proof

For $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_{ij})_{i=1, \dots, n; j=1, \dots, p}$, note that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{ij}} \exp(-\text{Tr } \mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{x}) = -\bar{x}_{ij} \exp(-\text{Tr } \mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{x}),$$

Assume that $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathbb{C}\mathbf{N}_{n \times p}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_n \otimes \mathbf{I}_p)$ and put

$\mathbf{S} = (\mathbf{s}_{ij}) = \mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{X} \sim \mathbb{C}\mathbf{W}(n, \mathbf{I}_p)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{j=1}^p \mathbf{s}_{ij} \mathbf{g}_{jl} \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^n \bar{x}_{ki} \mathbf{x}_{kj} \mathbf{g}_{jl} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{ki}} (\mathbf{x}_{kj} \mathbf{g}_{jl}) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[n \mathbf{g}_{il} + \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{x}_{kj} \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}_{jl}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{ki}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In matrix form

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{S}\mathbf{G}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{n}\mathbf{G} + (\mathbf{X}'\nabla_{\mathbf{X}})'\mathbf{G}].$$

Transform $\mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{P}^*)^{-1}$ ($\mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{P}^*)^{-1}$) and $\mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^*\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{P}^*)^{-1}$, where $\mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{P}^*$ and \mathbf{P} is a $p \times p$ nonsingular matrix. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{G}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{n}\mathbf{G} + (\mathbf{X}'\nabla_{\mathbf{X}})'\mathbf{G}].$$

Replacing \mathbf{S} with $\mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{G}$, taking the trace, and using the chain-rule of differentiation, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{Tr } \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{G}] = \mathbb{E}[(n - p)\text{Tr}(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{S}^{-1}) + \text{Tr}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{G})].$$



Class of estimators

- ★ Let $\mathbf{F} = \text{Diag}(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{\min(m, p)})$ be the ordered eigenvalues of $\mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}^{-1}$, $f_1 \geq \dots \geq f_{\min(m, p)}$.
- ★ For $p > m$ decompose $\mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{X}^* = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{U}^*$, where \mathbf{U} is an $m \times m$ unitary matrix.
- ★ For $m > p$ we decompose $\mathbf{S} = (\mathbf{A}^*)^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{A}^*)^{-1} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{A}^{-1}$, where \mathbf{A} is a $p \times p$ non-singular matrix.
- ★ Consider a class of estimators of the form

$$\hat{\Xi}_H := \hat{\Xi}_H(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{S}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{X} \{ \mathbf{I}_p + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{F}) \mathbf{A}^{-1} \} & \text{if } m > p \\ \{ \mathbf{I}_m + \mathbf{U} \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{F}) \mathbf{U}^* \} \mathbf{X} & \text{if } m < p \end{cases}, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{H} := \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{F}) = \text{Diag}(h_1(\mathbf{F}), h_2(\mathbf{F}), \dots, h_{\min(m, p)}(\mathbf{F}))$ whose i -th element $h_i := h_i(\mathbf{F})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, \min(m, p)$, is a real-valued function on $\mathbb{R}_{>}^{\min(m, p)}$.

Theorem

Under the suitable conditions, we have

$$R((\Xi, \Sigma), \widehat{\Xi}_H) = \begin{cases} mp + \mathbb{E} \left[\widehat{\Delta}(n, m, p; H) \right] & \text{if } m > p \\ mp + \mathbb{E} \left[\widehat{\Delta}(n + m - p, p, m; H) \right] & \text{if } m < p \end{cases}$$

where $h_{kk}(\mathbf{F}) = (\partial h_k / \partial f_k)(\mathbf{F})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Delta}(n, m, p; H) = & \sum_{k=1}^p \left\{ 2(m - p + 1)h_k(\mathbf{F}) + 2f_k h_{kk}(\mathbf{F}) \right. \\ & + 4 \sum_{b>k} \frac{f_k h_k(\mathbf{F}) - f_b h_b(\mathbf{F})}{f_k - f_b} + (n + p - 2)f_k h_k^2(\mathbf{F}) \\ & \left. - 2f_k^2 h_{kk}(\mathbf{F}) h_k(\mathbf{F}) - 2 \sum_{b>k} \frac{f_k^2 h_k^2(\mathbf{F}) - f_b^2 h_b^2(\mathbf{F})}{f_k - f_b} \right\} \mathbb{I}\{f_1 > \dots > f_p > 0\} \end{aligned}$$

Evaluation of risk

★ We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 R((\Xi, \Sigma), \widehat{\Xi}_H) &= \mathbb{E} \left[\text{Tr} \{ \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{G} - \Xi)^* (\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{G} - \Xi) \} \right] \\
 &= \underbrace{\mathbb{E} \left[\text{Tr} \{ \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{X} - \Xi)^* (\mathbf{X} - \Xi) \} \right]}_{mp} \\
 &\quad + \underbrace{\mathbb{E} \left[\text{Tr} \{ \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{G}^* (\mathbf{X} - \Xi) \} + \text{Tr} \{ \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{X} - \Xi)^* \mathbf{G} \} \right]}_{\text{Stein's identity}} \\
 &\quad + \underbrace{\mathbb{E} \left[\text{Tr} \{ \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{G}^* \mathbf{G} \} \right]}_{\text{Stein-Haff identity}} \\
 &= mp + \mathbb{E} \left[2 \text{Tr} \{ \text{Re} (\nabla'_x \mathbf{G}) \} \right] \\
 &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\text{Tr} \{ \mathbf{D}_S \mathbf{G}^* \mathbf{G} \} + (n - p) \text{Tr} \{ \mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{G}^* \mathbf{G} \} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

- ★ When $m > p$, recall that $\widehat{\Xi}_H = \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{A}^{-1}$, where \mathbf{A} is a $p \times p$ nonsingular matrix s.t. $\mathbf{S} = (\mathbf{A}^*)^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{X}^*\mathbf{X}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{F} = \text{Diag}(f_1, \dots, f_p)$, and that the modification \mathbf{H} depends only on the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{X}^*\mathbf{X}\mathbf{S}^{-1}$, .



$$\begin{aligned}
 R((\Xi, \Sigma), \widehat{\Xi}_H) &= mp + \mathbb{E}\left[2 \text{Tr}\{\text{Re}(\nabla'_X \mathbf{G})\}\right] \\
 &\quad + \mathbb{E}\left[\text{Tr}\{\mathbf{D}_S \mathbf{G}^* \mathbf{G}\} + (n-p)\text{Tr}\{\mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{G}^* \mathbf{G}\}\right]. \\
 &= mp + \mathbb{E}\left[2 \text{Tr}\{\text{Re}(\nabla'_X \mathbf{X}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{A}^{-1})\}\right] \\
 &\quad + \mathbb{E}\left[\text{Tr}\{\mathbf{D}_S \underbrace{(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{A}^{-1})^* (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{A}^{-1})}_{(\mathbf{A}^*)^{-1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{H} (\mathbf{F}) \mathbf{A}^{-1}}}\right] \\
 &\quad + (n-p) \underbrace{\text{Tr}\{\mathbf{S}^{-1} (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{A}^{-1})^* (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{A}^{-1})\}}_{\text{Tr}\{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{H}^2\}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

- ★ The terms inside the first and second expectations are written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr} \{ \text{Re} (\nabla'_X \mathbf{X} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{A}^{-1}) \} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^p \left\{ f_k h_{kk} + (m - p + 1) h_{kk} + 2 \sum_{b>k} \frac{f_k h_k - f_b h_b}{f_k - f_b} \right\} \\ & \text{Tr} \{ \mathbf{D}_S ((\mathbf{A}^*)^{-1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{A}^{-1}) \} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^p \left\{ 2(p-1) f_k h_k^2 - 2 f_k h_{kk} h_k - 2 \sum_{b>k} \frac{f_k^2 h_k^2 - f_b^2 h_b^2}{f_k - f_b} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{H} = \text{Diag}(h_1, \dots, h_p)$ and $h_{kk} = \partial h_k / \partial f_k$, and \mathbf{A} is a $p \times p$ nonsingular matrix such that $\mathbf{S} = (\mathbf{A}^*)^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{-1}$, and that the modification \mathbf{H} depends only on the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}^{-1}$, $\mathbf{F} = \text{Diag}(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p)$.

- ★ When $m < p$, recall that $\widehat{\Xi}_H := \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{G}$ where $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{U}^*\mathbf{X}$, where \mathbf{U} is an $m \times m$ unitary matrix such that $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{X}^* = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{U}^*$, and recall that an $m \times m$ diagonal matrix \mathbf{H} depends only on $\mathbf{F} = \text{Diag}(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$.
- ★ For $m < p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 R((\Xi, \Sigma), \widehat{\Xi}_H) &= mp + \mathbb{E}\left[2 \text{Tr}\{\text{Re}(\nabla'_X \mathbf{G})\}\right] \\
 &\quad + \mathbb{E}\left[\text{Tr}\{\mathbf{D}_S \mathbf{G}^* \mathbf{G} + (n-p) \text{Tr}\{\mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{G}^* \mathbf{G}\}\right]. \\
 &= mp + \mathbb{E}\left[2 \text{Tr}\{\text{Re}(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{U}^*\mathbf{X})\}\right] \\
 &\quad + \mathbb{E}\left[\text{Tr}\{\mathbf{D}_S \underbrace{(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{U}^*\mathbf{X})^* (\mathbf{U}\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{U}^*\mathbf{X})}_{\mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{U} \mathbf{H}^2 \mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{X}}\right] \\
 &\quad + (n-p) \underbrace{\text{Tr}\{\mathbf{S}^{-1} (\mathbf{U}\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{U}^*\mathbf{X})^* (\mathbf{U}\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{U}^*\mathbf{X})\}}_{\text{Tr}\{\mathbf{F}\mathbf{H}^2\}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

- ★ The terms inside the first and second expectations are written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr} \{ \text{Re} (\nabla'_X \mathbf{U} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{X}) \} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \left\{ f_k h_{kk} + (p - m + 1) h_{kk} + 2 \sum_{b>k} \frac{f_k h_k - f_b h_b}{f_k - f_b} \right\} \\ & \text{Tr} \{ \mathbf{D}_S (\mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{U} \mathbf{H}^2 \mathbf{U} \mathbf{X}) \} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \left\{ 2(m-1) f_k h_k^2 - 2 f_k^2 h_{kk} h_k - 2 \sum_{b>k} \frac{f_k^2 h_k - f_b^2 h_b}{f_k - f_b} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{H} = \text{Diag}(h_1, \dots, h_m)$ and $h_{kk} = \partial h_k / \partial f_k$, and \mathbf{U} is an $m \times m$ unitary matrix s.t.

$$\mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{X}^* = \mathbf{U} \text{Diag}(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m) \mathbf{U}^*.$$

Theorem (Efron-Morris type estimator)

Let

$$\mathbf{c} = \begin{cases} \frac{m-p}{n+p} & \text{if } m > p \\ \frac{p-m}{n+2m-p} & \text{if } m < p \end{cases}$$

and put

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{F}) = -\text{Diag} \left(\frac{\mathbf{c}}{f_1}, \frac{\mathbf{c}}{f_2}, \dots, \frac{\mathbf{c}}{f_{\min(m,p)}} \right)$$

Then the estimator

$$\widehat{\Xi}_H = \begin{cases} \mathbf{X} \{ \mathbf{I}_p - \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{S} \} & \text{if } m > p \\ \{ \mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{X}^*)^{-1} \} \mathbf{X} & \text{if } m < p \end{cases}$$

improves upon \mathbf{X} , i.e., $R((\Xi, \Sigma), \widehat{\Xi}_H) \leq R((\Xi, \Sigma), \mathbf{X})$ for $\forall (\Xi, \Sigma)$.

Theorem

Let $\mathbf{c}_k = \frac{m+p-2k}{n-p+2k}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, \min(m, p)$) and put

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{F}) = -\text{Diag} \left(\frac{\mathbf{c}_1}{f_1}, \frac{\mathbf{c}_2}{f_2}, \dots, \frac{\mathbf{c}_{\min(m,p)}}{f_{\min(m,p)}} \right).$$

Then the estimator

$$\hat{\Xi} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{X}\{\mathbf{I}_p + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{A}^{-1}\} & \text{if } m > p \\ \{\mathbf{I}_m + \mathbf{U}\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{U}^*\}\mathbf{X} & \text{if } m < p \end{cases}$$

improves upon \mathbf{X} , i.e., $R((\Xi, \Sigma), \hat{\Xi}_H) \leq R((\Xi, \Sigma), \mathbf{X})$ for $\forall(\Xi, \Sigma)$.

Recall that \mathbf{U} is an $m \times m$ unitary matrix s.t. $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{X}^* = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{U}^*$ and that \mathbf{A} is a $p \times p$ nonsingular matrix s.t. $\mathbf{S} = (\mathbf{A}^*)^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{-1}$.

- 1 The results are complex version of those for estimation problem for the mean matrix of the real multivariate normal distribution (see Konno (JMVA, 1991) and Kariya et al. (JMVA, 1999)).
- 2 However the results are parallel to real case.
- 3 Note that the substitution rule to get the second part from the first part is $(\boldsymbol{\rho}, m, n) \rightarrow (m, \boldsymbol{\rho}, n + m - \boldsymbol{\rho})$, i.e.,

$$R((\Xi, \Sigma), \widehat{\Xi}_H) = \begin{cases} m\boldsymbol{\rho} + \mathbb{E}\left[\widehat{\Delta}(n, m, \boldsymbol{\rho}; \mathbf{H})\right] & \text{if } m > \boldsymbol{\rho} \\ m\boldsymbol{\rho} + \mathbb{E}\left[\widehat{\Delta}(n + m - \boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\rho}, m; \mathbf{H})\right] & \text{if } m < \boldsymbol{\rho} \end{cases}$$

This is the same as the rule substitution for the joint distribution of $\mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{X} \mathbf{S}^{-1}$.

When $\Xi = \mathbf{0}$, the joint distribution of $\mathbf{X}^*\mathbf{X}\mathbf{S}^{-1}$ is, aparting from normalizing constants,

$$\prod_{k=1}^p \frac{f_k^{m-p}}{(1+f_k)^{n+m}} \prod_{k=1}^{p-1} \prod_{j=k+1}^p (f_k - f_j)^2 \prod_{k=1}^p df_k$$

if $m > p$ while it is

$$\prod_{k=1}^m \frac{f_k^{p-m}}{(1+f_k)^{n+m}} \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} \prod_{j=k+1}^m (f_k - f_j)^2 \prod_{k=1}^m df_k,$$

if $p > m$. The second one is obtained by the substitution rule $(p, m, n) \rightarrow (m, p, n + m - p)$. Good reference is Khatri(1965, Ann. ,Math. Statist).